

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,625	08/31/2001	Joseph Arruda	17853-039	2365
30623 75	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			LUU, MATTHEW	
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02111			3663	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/944,625	ARRUDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LUU MATTHEW	3663			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNSON THE MAILING DOWNSON OF THE MAILING DOWNSON OF THE MAILING DOWNSON OF THE MAILING DOWNSON OF THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	larch 2006.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 26,28-32 and 36 is/are pending in the	application.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26,28-32 and 36</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moller et al (5,889,512).

#### Claim 36.

Moller discloses (Fig. 9) a cap (a rear portion 18, which includes an extension member 48 with a cap 60) (hereinafter, the rear portion 18), the cap comprising:

a first end (a screw thread aperture 42) for positioning over an end (thread bore 38) of an instrument (the grip portion 16 with the pen tip 20); and

a second end having an aperture (central aperture 44), the aperture (44) having a non-writing retractable tip (an extension member 48 with a cap 60) mounted therein. The retractable tip (an extension member 48 with a cap 60) movable between a first normal position retracted within the second aperture (Fig. 13) and a second extended position extending out of the second aperture (Fig. 15). See column 6, lines 35-47; and column 7, lines 37-38 and 62-65.

Moller fails to explicitly teach that the retractable tip (48 and 60) being adapted for use with a touch sensitive display.

However, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that a user can use any pointing devices, such as a normal pen, a pencil, or even a finger to input information into a graphics user interface display device by touching a touch sensitive screen. Therefore, the retractable tip of Moller can function equivalently to a touch stylus for interfacing with the touch screen.

TO", "CAPABLE OF", SUFFICIENT TO', AND 'FOR' doing something are typical of claim limitations, which may not distinguish over the prior art. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform or is 'capable of' performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26, 28 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiroyuki (JP11-154052A) in view of Moller.

#### Claim 36.

Shiroyuki discloses (Figs. 1 and 3) a cap for an instrument, the cap comprising: a first end (12) for positioning over an end of the instrument (15); and

a second end (10) having an aperture, the aperture having a non-writing tip (11) mounted therein, the tip being adapted for use with a touch sensitive display (Fig. 5, PDA 20). See page 8, sections 1-2; page 10, sections 19-20; page 12, sections 24-26.

The only difference between the disclosure of Shiroyuki and the claimed invention is that claim 36 requires the tip (11) is retractable.

However, Moller discloses (Figs 1 and 2) a retractable tip (14) movable between a first normal position within the aperture (Fig. 1) and a second extended position (Fig. 2) extending out of the aperture (Column 4, lines 47-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of the retractable tips of Moller into the cap tip of Shiroyuki since it is well-known in the art that most of the pen or fountain pen having retractable tip, which can be movable inside the body of the pen for protection when the pen is not in use.

## Claim 26.

Shiroyuki discloses (Figs. 1 and 3) a writing instrument (15) for alternatively writing on a surface and for interfacing with a touch sensitive display (20), the writing instrument (15) comprising:

an elongated body (15) having a first end having a writing tip (Fig. 4, tip 16) and a second end (18); and

a cap (Fig. 3, cap 8) having a first end (12) adapted to receive the first end (16) of the elongated body (15) and also adapted to receive the second end (Fig. 4, second end 18) of the elongated body (15);

Application/Control Number: 09/944,625

Art Unit: 3663

the cap (8) also having a second end (10) having an aperture, the aperture having a non-writing tip (11) mounted therein, the tip being adapted for use with a touch sensitive display (Fig. 5, PDA 20). See page 8, sections 1-2; page 10, sections 19-20; page 12, sections 24-26.

Shiroyuki fails to disclose a "retractable tip", wherein the second end of the elongated body cooperates with the cap to extend the non-writing tip outwardly from the second aperture as the cap (8) receives the second end (18) of the elongated body (15) as shown in Fig. 4 of Shiroyuki.

However, Moller discloses (Fig. 9) a cap (a rear portion 18, which includes an extension member 48 with a cap 60) (hereinafter, the rear portion 18), the cap comprising:

a first end (a screw thread aperture 42) for positioning over an end (thread bore 38) of an instrument (the grip portion 16 with the pen tip 20); and

a second end having an aperture (central aperture 44), the aperture (44) having a non-writing retractable tip (an extension member 48 with a cap 60) mounted therein. The retractable tip (an extension member 48 with a cap 60) movable between a first normal position retracted within the second aperture (Fig. 13) and a second extended position extending out of the second aperture (Fig. 15). See column 6, lines 35-47; and column 7, lines 37-38 and 62-65.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of the retractable tips of Moller into the cap tip of Shiroyuki since it is well-

known in the art that most of the pen or fountain pen having retractable tip, which can be movable inside the body of the pen for protection when the pen is not in use.

#### Claim 28.

The rubber made material of the non-writing tip is an obvious design choice.

Furthermore, it is desirable to make the stylus tip from rubber material to reduce fiction to the touch screen, provide more light-weight, and reduce manufacture cost.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiroyuki in view of Moller as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of the Admitted Prior Art (A.T. Cross) (Specification, page 2, lines 22-32).

### Claim 29.

Regarding claim 29, Shiroyuki fails to teach a source for generating a signal for remotely communication with a personal digital device.

However, A.T. Cross teaches the Crosspad, which converts handwritten documents into electronic form. This Crosspad includes a conventional notepad combined with a unique pen and printed circuit board assembly (PCBA) for the notepad.

A.T. Cross also teaches "the pen includes a RF transmitter. The RF transmitter operates in conjunction with the PCBA for the pad to translate pen movement into

electronic signals representing the user's writing motion" (Specification, page 2, lines 26-28).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art to use the RF transmitter source in the pen of the Crosspad into the stylus pen of Shiroyuki to provide a more convenient stylus input device. Furthermore, the stylus pen includes the RF transmitter source for generating signal is well known in the art. Shiroyuki discloses the notepad (20) is a personal digital device.

#### Claim 30.

A.T. Cross further teaches "A switch in the pen turns the transmitter on when the pen is in contact with the pad. The switch is activated as a result of the force exerted by the pad on the tip of the pen" (Page 2, lines 28-30).

## Claim 31.

A.T. Cross teaches "The pen has an ink tip which can be used to make marks on the pad" (Page 2, lines 25-26). Furthermore, the ink supply positioned in the body of the pen is well known in the art.

## Claim 32.

Since A.T. Cross teaches "A switch in the pen turns the transmitter on when the pen is in contact with the pad", it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill

in the art to recognize that the transmitter of A.T. Cross is an electromechanical

transmitter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26, 28-32 and 36 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU

male 4

Page 8